

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,697	11/10/2003		Lynn Allan Miles	14040 B	5233
36672	7590 04/01/2004			EXAMINER	
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ. 90 JOHN STREET				SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
THIRD FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10038				

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* Office Action Summary	10/705,697 Examiner	MILES, LYNN ALLAN  Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Aut Unit
		Art Onit
¥	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers	<b>!</b>	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOI -326 (Rev. 1-04)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

· 李章 (1986年) 1985年 - 1986年 - 1

Application/Control Number: 10/705,697

Art Unit: 3683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1- 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al..

Regarding claim 1 Johnson et al. discloses in the several embodiments a brake disc for a cycle comprising an outer peripheral portion 222, a hub portion 228, and an air circulating device 232 (see figure 11) capable of functioning in the manner claimed since the spokes at 232 can propel and circulate air around the disc to some degree.

Regarding claim 2, as explained above, these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 3 these requirements are met.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3683

Application/Control Number: 10/705,697

5. Claims 1- 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garfinkel et al. in view of Toby.

Regarding claim 1 Garfinkel et al. disclose a brake disc comprising an outer peripheral portion, a hub portion 25 or 26 and an air circulating device 28,44.

Garfinkel et al. Lack a particular disclosure the device is capable of use with cycle brake systems.

The reference to Toby teaches that such types of brake discs can be used with motorcycles at the top of column 4.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the brake disc of Garfinkel et al., with motorcycles, as taught by Toby.

Regarding claim 2 elements 28 are considered to be a plurality of "spokes" as broadly claimed.

Regarding claim 3 it appears the spokes 28 are formed integral with the disc. However, it is well known to form singular parts plural and vice-versa as a matter of choice of design.

Regarding claim 4, as broadly claimed, note the fan blades at 44.

Regarding claim 5 note the spokes at 28.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,697

Art Unit: 3683

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 3/29/04